

Maiden Erlegh Trust
COMPLAINTS POLICY



MAIDEN ERLEGH
TRUST

Initial approval:	October 2014
Review frequency:	Annually
Date(s) reviewed:	July 2015, July 2017, August 2018, October 2019, Sept 2020

Contents

- 1. Policy Aim and Statement 4
- 2. Application..... 4
- 3. The Rules of Natural Justice..... 5
- 4. Equality Act 2010 5
- 5. Terms Used..... 6
- 6. Acceptable Communications 6
- 7. Procedure..... 6
- STAGE 1: CONCERNS..... 6
- STAGE 2: FORMAL COMPLAINT TO THE HEADTEACHER 7
- STAGE 3: REVIEW BY THE CHAIR OF THE LOCAL ADVISORY BOARD (LAB) / INTERIM
TRANSITION BOARD (ITB) 9
- STAGE 4: COMPLAINT PANEL HEARING 10
- 8. Time Limits..... 14
- 9. Complaints against the Headteacher 15
- 10. Complaints against the Chair of Local Advisory Board / Interim Transition Board..... 15
- 11. Complaints against the Local Advisory Board..... 15
- 12. Complaints against the Chair of Trust..... 15
- 13. Complaints against the CEO and/or the Trust..... 15
- 14. Late Complaints 15
- 15. Vexatious or Repeated Complaints 16
- 16. Complaint Campaigns 17
- 17. Anonymous Complaints..... 17
- 18. Record Keeping and Retention..... 17
- 19. Review of Concerns/Complaints..... 17
- 20. Staff Training 18
- 21. GDPR/Data Protection Act 2018 and Freedom of Information Act 2000 18
- 22. Audio or video evidence 18
- 23. Handling complaints fairly and without bias 18
- 24. Resolution Principles 19
- 25. Outcome Principles 19
- 26. Publication..... 20
- 27. Referral to the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA)..... 20
- Appendix 1 – Maiden Erlegh Trust Formal Complaint Form 21
- Appendix 2 – Unacceptable Communication Protocol 22

ABBREVIATION LIST

LAB: Local Advisory Board (governing body) of the school.

ITB: Schools which are in transition to Maiden Erlegh Trust will have an Interim Transition Board rather than a Local Advisory Board.

1. Policy Aim and Statement

1.1 This Complaints Policy helps underpin the Vision, Values and Ethos of Maiden Erlegh Trust. Its aim is to ensure that a concern, difficulty or complaint is managed sympathetically, efficiently and at the appropriate level and resolved as soon as possible. Doing so is good practice, fair to those concerned and helps to promote parents' and pupil/students' confidence in the school's ability to safeguard and promote welfare. Schools in the Trust will try to resolve every concern, difficulty or complaint in a positive way with the aim of putting right a matter which may have gone wrong and, where necessary, reviewing the school or Trust systems and procedures in the light of the matters raised.

1.2 A school needs to know as soon as possible if there is any cause for dissatisfaction. Trust schools recognise that a concern or difficulty which is not resolved quickly and fairly can soon become a cause of resentment, which can be damaging to the relationship between the school and the parent and pupil/student, and can also have a detrimental effect upon the school's ethos and culture. Parents and pupil/students should never feel – or be made to feel – that raising a concern, difficulty or complaint will adversely affect the pupil/student's future at the school, or place the pupil/student at a disadvantage in any way.

2. Application

2.1 Each school is an academy and is therefore governed by the Education (Independent School Standards) (England) Regulations 2014 (as amended) (“the Regulations”). This Complaints Policy has been formulated to comply with Schedule 1, Part 7 of the Regulations (as well as equality legislation and the rules of natural justice). In the case of any variance between the procedure outlined in this Complaints Policy and the Regulations, the procedure outlined in the Regulations and the Best Practice Guidance for Academies Complaints Procedures (16 July 2020) will apply.

2.2 As this policy is based on Part 7 of the Education (Independent School Standards) (England) Regulations 2014, it only applies to complaints from parents of pupils/students at Maiden Erlegh Trust schools. We will refer to “Understanding and dealing with issues relating to parental responsibility” (3 September 2018) where there are questions of parental responsibility.

2.3 This Complaints Policy applies to all concerns and complaints of the parents of pupil/students at the Trust schools, other than relating to child protection issues, admissions or exclusions for which there are separate statutory procedures. Complaints about the statutory assessment of Special Educational Needs should be raised directly with the relevant Local Authority.

2.4 Where a complaint is made against a member of staff, depending upon the nature and seriousness of the complaint, the matter may be dealt with under separate HR procedures which are strictly confidential, rather than under this Complaints Policy.

2.5 Maiden Erlegh Trust is committed to handling complaints from people who are not parents of pupils/students at our schools respectfully and expediently, but we reserve the right not to use this policy to do so.

2.6 Complaints about third parties (eg: letting providers) should be addressed directly to them.

2.7 This Complaints Policy distinguishes between a concern or difficulty (an expression of worry or doubt over an issue considered to be important for which reassurances are sought) which can usually be resolved informally by way of a telephone call or meeting, and a formal complaint (an expression or statement of dissatisfaction about actions taken or a lack of action) which will require further investigation.

3. The Rules of Natural Justice

3.1 Simply put, the rules of natural justice relate to fairness. Trust schools will ensure that all concerns or complaints are dealt with in accordance with the following principles:

- All parties will be provided with all information and documentation pertinent to the matters raised;
- All parties will be given the opportunity to prepare and present their case and respond to the other parties involved;
- All persons investigating and making decisions in relation to the matters raised will be impartial and will do so without bias (or apparent bias) to any party involved;
- All decisions made will be made on a balanced and considered assessment of the information before him or her only;
- All decisions made will be based upon logical conclusions, and not based on mere speculation or suspicion;
- All decisions made will be supported by detailed reasons which will be disclosed to all parties involved.

4. Equality Act 2010

4.1 The school will deal with concerns and complaints in accordance with its duty under the Equality Act 2010 to have due regard to the need to:

- Eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Equality Act 2010;
- Advance equality of opportunity between those who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not, by having regard to the need to:
 - remove or minimise disadvantages connected to a relevant protected characteristic; and
 - take steps to meet the different needs of those sharing a relevant protected characteristic; and
 - encourage those who share a relevant protected characteristic to participate in school life and activities in which participation is disproportionately low;
- Foster good relations between those who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not, by having regard to the need to:
 - tackle prejudice; and
 - promote understanding;

“Relevant protected characteristics” includes sex, race, disability, religion or belief, sexual orientation, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity and (in the case of persons who are not pupil/students) age.

4.2 In addition, the school will comply with its duty to make the following reasonable adjustments for persons with a disability:

- Where a provision, criterion or practice places a disabled person at a substantial disadvantage compared to person who is not disabled, reasonable steps must be taken to avoid that disadvantage;

- Where a disabled person would, but for the provision of an auxiliary aid, be placed at a substantial disadvantage compared with a person who is not disabled, reasonable steps must be taken to provide the auxiliary aid.

An auxiliary aid can be a piece of equipment or a service.

4.3 If a Complainant or other person involved in the complaints procedure requires an interpreter, a signer or any other assistance at meetings or at a Complaint Panel Hearing, they should let the school know immediately.

Further details can be found in the Trust's Equality Policy

5. Terms Used

5.1 For the purpose of this Complaints Policy, a "parent" includes the natural or adoptive parent of a pupil/student, irrespective of whether they are or ever have been married, whether they are separated or divorced, whether the pupil/student lives with them, whether the father has parental responsibility for the pupil/student or whether they have contact with the pupil/student.

5.2 A "parent" will also include a non-parent who has parental responsibility for a pupil/student, an adult non-parent with whom the pupil/student lives, and an adult who is involved in the day-to-day care of the pupil/student (for example, collecting or dropping off the pupil/student from school).

5.3 Any reference to a "pupil/student" will also include a prospective or former pupil/student of the school.

5.4 A person making a complaint will be referred to as a "Complainant" throughout this Complaints Policy.

6. Acceptable Communications

6.1 The Trust requests that all concerns and complaints are presented to the school in a respectful and reasonable manner. The Unacceptable Communications protocol can be found in Appendix 2 and outlines possible action to be taken by staff in response to inappropriate communication and behaviour.

7. Procedure

7.1 The school's complaints procedure consists of four stages:

- Stage 1 – Concerns, dealt with informally;
- Stage 2 – Complaints formally investigated by the Headteacher (or designate);
- Stage 3 – Complaints formally reviewed by the Chair of the LAB/ITB (or designate);
- Stage 4 – Complaint Panel Hearing.

STAGE 1: CONCERNS

Concerns:

Maiden Erlegh Trust expect that most concerns, where a parent or pupil/student seeks intervention, reconsideration or reassurance, can be resolved by way of a telephone discussion or informal meeting, recognising this as an effective way of improving procedures and relations with parents.

Examples of a concern might include doubt about some aspect of teaching or pastoral care,

allocation of privileges or responsibilities, a timetable clash, an issue with the school's systems or equipment, or a billing error.

Notification:

The concern or difficulty should be raised as follows:

- **Education issues** – if the matter relates to the classroom or the curriculum, the Complainant should speak to the Head of Department or Phase Leader.
- **Special Educational Needs and Disabilities Issue** - if the matter relates to the child's SEND support the Complainant should speak to the SENCO. This includes complaints that the school has not provided the support required by the child's SEND statement or education, health and care (EHC) plan.
- **Pastoral care** – for concerns relating to matters outside the classroom, the Complainant should speak to the Form Tutor or Head of Year (Secondary), Main Class Teacher or Phase Leader (Primary) or the relevant Assistant Headteacher as appropriate.
- **Disciplinary matters** – a problem over any disciplinary action taken or a sanction imposed should be raised with the member of staff who imposed it in the first instance. If not resolved, the Complainant should speak to the relevant Head of Department or Phase Leader, Head of Year or Assistant Headteacher.
- **Financial and administrative matters** – a query relating to fees, extras or other administrative matters should be raised by the Complainant with the Business Manager.
- **An issue with a specific member of staff** – often, the best way to resolve an issue with a specific member of staff is to raise it with that member of staff directly, so that they are given the opportunity to address and resolve the concern or difficulty before it becomes a formal complaint. If the Complainant feels uncomfortable doing this, however, the issue should be raised with the appropriate Head of Department or Phase Leader, Assistant Headteacher or Business Manager.

Should a concern or difficulty be raised with a member of staff who feels that they are not the best person to deal with it, they will refer it to the relevant middle or senior leader as appropriate.

If a concern or difficulty is raised with a member of staff who feels that the issue's seriousness is such that it should be dealt with as a formal complaint immediately, the member of staff will tell the Complainant that they should put their complaint in writing to the Headteacher (using the Complaint Form contained in Appendix 1) under Stage 2 of this Complaints Policy.

Unresolved Concerns

Trust schools will aim to resolve a concern or difficulty within **fifteen school days** of the date that it was raised. Where a concern or difficulty has not been resolved by informal means within this time limit from the date that it was raised, the Complainant can submit the matters raised as a formal complaint under Stage 2 of this Complaints Policy.

Record of Concerns

The member of staff dealing with a concern or difficulty will make a record on the communication log within the school database (SIMS) along with informal action taken.

STAGE 2: FORMAL COMPLAINT TO THE HEADTEACHER

Notification

A concern or difficulty raised under Stage 1 of this Complaints Policy which remains

unresolved after **fifteen school days**, or a serious matter which requires formal investigation from the outset, should be set out using the Complaints Form and sent to the Headteacher at the relevant school. Should a formal written complaint be received by another member of a school's staff, they will immediately be passed on to the Headteacher.

The Complainant should clearly set out the matters in dispute, the relevant dates, the full names of the persons involved and what the Complainant believes the school should do to resolve the complaint. Any documentation relied upon by the Complainant should be attached to the formal complaint. The pro-forma is available at Appendix 1.

Acknowledgement

The formal complaint will be acknowledged in writing within **five school days** of receipt of the Complaints Form. The acknowledgement letter will confirm the date that the formal complaint was received, the action to be taken and the specified time limit.

Investigation

The Headteacher will be provided with the records of the Stage 1 informal procedure (if applicable) within **five school days** of receipt of the formal complaint, and will then proceed to investigate the complaint. This will involve obtaining and considering all documentation held by the school which is relevant to the complaint. If further information is required from the Complainant, this may be requested from them over the telephone or in writing.

The Headteacher will speak to the persons who were involved in the matters raised by the Complainant. Pupil/students will only be spoken to with an independent member of staff present to support them. Where there is an issue about the conduct of a member of staff, that member of staff will be offered the option of having another member of staff present. Other members of staff will be spoken to alone. A written record of the conversation will be made, and the pupil/student or member of staff spoken to will be asked to read, sign and date the written record to confirm that it is accurate. In the case of pupil/students, the accompanying independent member of staff will also be asked to sign and date the record of the conversation.

If the Headteacher deems it to be appropriate in relation to the matters raised, the Complainant will be offered a meeting to discuss the issues raised. This may take place at the beginning of the investigation to clarify any matters which are unclear, or after the investigation has taken place with the aim of reaching an amicable resolution.

Where the complaint was received during a school holiday or within twenty days from the end of a term or half term, the Headteacher will endeavour to expedite the investigation wherever possible.

Delegation

In appropriate cases, the Headteacher may delegate the complaint to a member of the Senior Leadership Team to investigate in accordance with the procedure outlined above.

Outcome

The Headteacher will write to the Complainant confirming the outcome of the investigation within **twenty school days** from the date that the complaint form was received. The letter will set out the individual matters raised by the Complainant, the findings made by the Headteacher during the course of the investigation, and the conclusion reached.

The letter will inform the Complainant that, if they are unsatisfied with the outcome of the Stage 2 investigation, they should write by form of a letter to the Clerk of the LAB/ITB within **five school days** of receipt of the letter asking for their complaint and the Stage 2 investigation to be reviewed by the Chair of the LAB/ITB under Stage 3 of this Complaints Policy.

STAGE 3: REVIEW BY THE CHAIR OF THE LOCAL ADVISORY BOARD (LAB) / INTERIM TRANSITION BOARD (ITB)

Notification

If the Complainant is unsatisfied with the outcome of the complaint under Stage 2 of this Complaints Policy, the Complainant should write by form of letter to the Clerk to the LAB/ITB asking for the complaint to be reviewed by the Chair of LAB/ITB, within **five school days** of receiving the letter confirming the outcome following Stage 2.

The Complainant should not repeat the matters raised in their original letter or attach documentation already provided, but should clearly set out how and why the Complainant does not accept the findings made under Stage 2.

Acknowledgement

The Complainant's letter will be acknowledged within **five school days** of receipt. The acknowledgement letter will confirm the date that the formal complaint was received, the action to be taken and the specified time limit.

Review

The Chair of the LAB/ITB will be provided with all documentation relating to the complaint **within five school days** of receipt of the letter requesting a review under Stage 3, including the record of the Stage 1 informal procedure (if applicable), the original completed Complaint Form, any documentation provided by the Complainant with their complaint, all investigation records under Stage 2, and the letter of outcome under Stage 2.

The Chair of the LAB/ITB will review all of the documentation received and consider the matters raised in the complaint and the investigation carried out under Stage 2. The Chair of the LAB/ITB will only speak to the persons involved in the matters raised to clarify matters which were not confirmed during the Stage 2 investigation, if believed necessary. Where the Chair of the LAB/ITB does speak to a pupil/student or a member of staff whose conduct is in issue, they will be accompanied as outlined under Stage 2.

If the Chair of the LAB/ITB deems it to be appropriate in relation to the matters raised, the Complainant will be offered a meeting to discuss the issues raised. If a meeting is deemed appropriate, it will usually take place after the review has been completed with the aim of reaching a mutually acceptable resolution.

Outcome

The Chair of the LAB/ITB will write to the Complainant confirming the outcome of the review within **twenty school days** from the date that the request for a review was received. The letter will set out whether the Chair of the LAB/ITB agrees with the findings and conclusion under Stage 2, and give reasons, as well as responding to any criticisms of the Stage 2 investigation.

The letter will inform the Complainant that, if they are unsatisfied with the outcome of the Stage 3 review, they should write by form of letter to the Clerk to the Trust within **five school days** of receipt of the letter requesting a Complaint Panel Hearing under Stage 4 of this Complaints Policy.

Where the request for a review was received during a school holiday or within twenty days from the end of a term or half term, the Chair of the LAB/ITB will endeavour to expedite the review wherever possible.

Delegation

In appropriate cases, the Chair of the LAB/ITB may delegate the review to another appropriate LAB/ITB member, or another Chair of LAB/ITB in the Trust to deal with in accordance with the procedure outlined above.

STAGE 4: COMPLAINT PANEL HEARING

Notification

If the Complainant is unsatisfied with the outcome of the review under Stage 3 of this Complaints Policy, the Complainant may write by form of letter to the Clerk to the Trust requesting a Complaint Panel Hearing. The Complainant should write to the Clerk to the Trust within **five school days** of receiving the letter confirming the outcome following Stage 3.

The Complainant should not repeat the matters raised in their original letter or attach documentation already provided, but should clearly set out how and why the Complainant does not accept the findings made under Stages 2 and 3.

The Complaint Panel

The Complaint Panel will consist of three persons appointed by or on behalf of the Trust by the Clerk to the Trust. None of the three Complaint Panel members will have been involved in the matters which gave rise to the complaint, have been involved in dealing with the complaint previously or have any detailed prior knowledge of the complaint. Two of the Complaint Panel members may (but do not have to) be Trustees. The third Complaint Panel member will be independent of the management and running of the school, i.e. they will not be a member of staff or a member of the LAB/ITB or a Trustee, and will not be linked to the school in another way, for example as a parent of a pupil/student at the school.

A LAB/LTB member at a different school within the Trust, who has no conflict of interest or prior knowledge of the complaint, can be an independent panel member. A decision to use another member of the Trust as the independent panel member, should be agreed in writing by the Chair of the Board and/or CEO. Where the complaint pertains to one of them, it should be signed off by the Vice Chair of the LAB and/or CFOO.

The independent Complaint Panel member will be the Chair of the Complaint Panel.

The Department for Education has issued guidance in relation to the appointment of the independent Complaint Panel member as follows:

Whilst we do not wish to be prescriptive about who schools should appoint as an independent person, our general view is that people who have held a position of responsibility and who are used to analysing evidence and putting forward balanced arguments would be suitable. Examples of persons likely to be suitable are serving or retired business people, civil servants, heads or senior members of staff at other schools, people with a legal background and retired members of the police force... schools will of course have their own views.

Attendance

The Complainant may attend the Complaint Panel Hearing, and may be accompanied by another person. For the avoidance of doubt, the Complainant's supporter will be present for moral support only and will not play any part in the proceedings, unless invited to do so by the Chair of the Complaint Panel, entirely at his or her discretion and for a good reason. The Complaint Panel Hearing is **not** a legal hearing and it is not appropriate for either the Complainant or the School to be legally represented.

The school will be represented at the Complaint Panel Hearing by the person who dealt with the complaint under Stage 3, which will usually be the Chair of LAB/ITB. This person will be referred to as the "School's Representative" for the purposes of Stage 4.

The Complaint Panel Hearing will be minuted by the Clerk to the Complaint Panel, who will usually be the Clerk to the Trust.

Convening the Complaint Panel Hearing

After selecting the Complaint Panel members, the Clerk to the Trust will write to the

Complainant within **five school days** acknowledging receipt of their request and informing them of the names of the Complaint Panel members. If the Complainant objects to any of the named persons being appointed to the Complaint Panel, they should notify the Clerk to the Trust within **three school days** of receipt of the letter. Fair consideration will be given to any bona fide objection to a particular member of the Complaint Panel.

The Clerk to the Trust will liaise with the Complaint Panel, the Complainant and the School's Representative to agree a mutually convenient date for the Complaint Panel Hearing, which will usually take place within **twenty school days** of receipt of the Complainant's request, unless there are exceptional circumstances.

The Clerk to the Trust will write to the Complainant confirming the date of the Complaint Panel Hearing within **five school days** of the date that the acknowledgement letter was sent (or the date that the new Complaint Panel member was selected, if an objection was received and upheld). If the Complaint Panel Hearing will not take place within **twenty school days** of receipt of the Complainant's request, the letter will set out the exceptional circumstances involved.

Documentation

The Clerk to the Trust will forward a copy of all paperwork relating to the complaint (consisting of the record of the Stage 1 informal procedure (if applicable), the original Complaint Form, any documentation provided by the Complainant with their complaint, all investigation records under Stage 2 with the letter of outcome, all review records under Stage 3 with the letter of outcome, and the Complainant's letter requesting a Complaint Panel Hearing and accompanying documents) to the Complainant, the school's Representative and the three Complaint Panel members.

The names of individuals other than the Complainant, the Complainant's family, members of the School's staff and Governors, will be redacted and replaced with a letter relevant to that particular individual (for example "Jane Brown" will be replaced with "A" throughout, "John Jones" will be replaced with B throughout) unless they have provided their written consent for their name to be disclosed.

If the Complainant wishes the Complaint Panel to consider any additional information, they should forward this documentation to the Clerk to the Trust to arrive **at least five school days** before the Complaint Panel Hearing, to enable the Clerk to the Trust to forward it to the School's Representative and the Complaint Panel members.

Witnesses

The Chair of the Complaint Panel will decide, at his or her absolute discretion, which witnesses will be permitted to attend the Complaint Panel Hearing to give a verbal statement rather than relying on a written statement or record of meeting which have been signed by the witness.

If the Complainant wishes to rely on the account of a witness, they should ask the witness to write down, sign and date their account and forward it to the Clerk to the Trust **at least five school days** before the Complaint Panel Hearing, to enable the Clerk to the Trust to forward it to the school's Representative and the Complaint Panel members.

Witnesses under the age of eighteen other than the Complainant's own family will only be allowed to attend the Complaint Panel Hearing at the discretion of the Chair of the Complaint Panel, and then only if they are accompanied by one of their parents or carers. Any written accounts provided by the Complainant relating to witnesses under the age of eighteen must be signed and dated by the witness **and** one of the witness' parents or carers.

Members of staff of the school involved in the matters which gave rise to the complaint will usually have provided a signed written account or have signed a note of a meeting during the previous stages, which will be forwarded to all parties with the other complaint documentation

in the usual way. Members of staff will not usually be required to attend the Complaint Panel Hearing to give a verbal statement unless their conduct is in issue or their account is contentious and the rules of natural justice dictate that the Complainant should be allowed to ask that member of staff questions.

Procedure at the Complaint Panel Hearing

The Complaint Panel Hearing will be conducted as follows:

- The Clerk to the Complaint Panel will greet the Complainant, the Complainant's supporter and the school's Representative and welcome them into the room where the Complaint Panel has convened (any witnesses will remain outside of the room until they are called in to give their account);
- The Complainant will be invited by the Complaint Panel to give an account of their complaint;
- The school's Representative will be invited to ask the Complainant questions, if any;
- The Complaint Panel will ask the Complainant questions, if any;
- At the discretion of the Chair of the Complaint Panel, the Complainant's first witness will be invited into the room to give an account of what they saw or know;
- The school's Representative will be invited to ask the Complainant's witness questions, if any;
- The Complaint Panel will ask the Complainant's witness questions, if any;
- The Complainant's witness will be asked to leave the room;
- If the Complainant has any further relevant witnesses, at the discretion of the Chair of the Complaint Panel, they will be invited into the room individually to provide their accounts and be questioned as outlined above;
- The school's Representative will be invited by the Complaint Panel to respond to the complaint and make representations on behalf of the school;
- The Complainant will be invited to ask the school's Representative questions, if any;
- The Complaint Panel will ask the school's Representative questions, if any;
- At the discretion of the Chair of the Complaint Panel, the school's relevant first witness will be invited into the room to give an account or what they saw or know;
- The Complainant will be invited to ask the school's witness questions, if any;
- The Complaint Panel will ask the school's witness questions, if any;
- The school's witness will be asked to leave the room;
- If the school has any further relevant witnesses, at the discretion of the Chair of the Complaint Panel, they will be invited into the room individually to provide their accounts and be questioned, as outlined above;
- The Complainant will be invited by the Complaint Panel to summarise their complaint;
- The school's Representative will be invited by the Complaint Panel to summarise their

response to the complaint and the school's stance;

- The Complaint Panel Hearing will conclude and the Complainant and the school's Representative will be asked to leave.

The Complaint Panel's Decision

The Complaint Panel will convene in private, either immediately after the Complaint Panel Hearing or on a subsequent date, and will consider all of the documentation and everything that they have heard at the Complainant Panel Hearing and make:

Findings of Fact

The Complaint Panel will decide which facts are established to be true, on a balance of probabilities (i.e. more likely than not). If a fact is not deemed relevant, the Complaint Panel will not consider it further. The Complaint Panel will make a written record of the facts that have been established, those which have not been established and those which are not relevant, with their reasons for making these findings.

Recommendations

The Complaint Panel will consider the facts which they have established and will make recommendations based upon them. These recommendations may be aimed at achieving reconciliation between the parties (for example, a written apology), improving procedures or preventing a recurrence in the future. The Complaint Panel will keep a written record of their recommendations, with reasons.

Notification of the Complaint Panel's Decision

The Clerk to the Trust will write within **ten school days** of the Complaint Panel Hearing to the:

- Complainant;
- the school's Representative;
- Any person complained about;

The letter will identify each of the issues complained about, summarise how the Complaint Panel Hearing proceeded, and confirm each of the Complaint Panel's findings of fact and recommendations, if any, with reasons. The letter will also confirm that, if the Complainant believes that this Complaints Policy does not comply with the Regulations, or that the school has not followed the procedure outlined in this Complaints Policy, the Complainant may refer their complaint to the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) for further consideration.

The meeting minutes from the Complaint Panel Hearing will be sent with the outcome letter to the Complainant, subject to any necessary redactions, under the Data Protection Act 2018 and GDPR.

The Clerk to the Trust will also ensure that a copy of the Complaint Panel's findings and recommendations are made available on the school's premises for inspection by the Trust, the LAB/ITB and the Headteacher.

Factors for the Complaint Panel to Consider

- It is important that the Complaint Panel Hearing is independent and impartial, and that it is seen to be so. No person may sit on the Complaint Panel if they have had a prior involvement in the matters which gave rise to the complaint, in dealing with the complaint in the previous stages, or have a prior detailed knowledge of the complaint;
- The aim of the Complaint Panel Hearing, which must be held in private, will always be to resolve the complaint and achieve reconciliation between the school and the Complainant. However, it has to be recognised that the Complainant may not be

satisfied with the outcome if the Complaint Panel does not find wholly in their favour. It may only be possible to establish the facts and make recommendations which will satisfy the Complainant that his or her complaint has been taken seriously;

- An effective Complaint Panel will acknowledge that many Complainants feel nervous and inhibited in a formal setting. Parents often feel emotional when discussing an issue that affects their child. The Chair of the Complaint Panel will ensure that the Complaint Panel Hearing is as welcoming as possible, while ensuring that it is procedurally fair to all parties. The layout of the room will set the tone and care is needed to ensure the setting is informal and not substantially adversarial;
- Extra care needs to be taken when the Complainant is a child, or there are child witnesses present. Care should be taken to ensure that the child does not feel intimidated. The Complaint Panel should be aware of the views of the child and give them equal consideration to those of the adults present. Where the child's parent is the Complainant, it would be helpful to give the parent the opportunity to suggest which parts of the hearing, if any, the child should attend, with the Chair retaining discretion;
- The Complaint Panel should ensure that they are familiar with the complaints procedure in advance of the Complaint Panel Hearing.

The Chair of the Complaint Panel will play a key part at the Complaint Panel Hearing, ensuring that:

- The remit of the Complaint Panel is explained to the parties and each party has the opportunity of making representations without undue interruption;
- All of the issues raised in the complaint are addressed;
- Key findings of fact are made, on a balance of probabilities;
- Each party treats the other with respect and courtesy;
- The Complaint Panel is open minded and acts independently of the school;
- No member of the Complaint Panel has a vested interest in the outcome of the proceedings;
- Each side is given the opportunity to state their case and ask questions;
- All written material is seen by all parties. If a new issue arises during the course of the Complaint Panel Hearing, it would be useful to give all parties the opportunity to consider and comment on it.

8. Time Limits

8.1 The school aims to resolve concerns and complaints in a timely manner. Time limits for each stage of the procedure are set out under each individual stage. For the purposes of this Complaints Policy, a "school day" is defined as a weekday during term time, when the school is open to children. The definition of "school day" excludes weekends, school holidays and bank holidays. For the avoidance of doubt, term dates are published on the school's website, and information about term dates is made available to parents and pupil/students periodically.

8.2 Although every effort will be made by the school to comply with the time limits specified under each stage of the procedure, it may not always be possible to do so, for example due to the complexity or number of matters raised, or due to the unavailability of the

Complainant to attend a meeting, if offered. In all cases, **where a time limit cannot be complied with, the school will write to the Complainant within the specified time limit, setting out the reasons why the time limit cannot be complied with, and confirming the new time limit which will apply.**

9. Complaints against the Headteacher

9.1 If a complaint is about the conduct of the Headteacher, the Chair of the LAB/ITB will investigate the complaint under Stage 2 of this Complaints Policy instead of the Headteacher.

9.2 If unresolved, the CEO will review the complaint under Stage 3 of this Complaints Policy instead of the Chair of the LAB/ITB.

10. Complaints against the Chair of Local Advisory Board / Interim Transition Board

10.1 If a complaint is about the conduct of the Chair of LAB/ITB, the Headteacher will consider the complaint under Stage 2 of this Complaints Policy as normal, and the CEO will review the complaint under Stage 3 of this Complaints Policy instead of the Chair of the LAB/ITB.

11. Complaints against the Local Advisory Board

11.1 Complaints against LAB Members should be directed to the Clerk of the LAB who will then determine the appropriate allocation with the Chair of LAB e.g.:

Stage 1: Chair of LAB

Stage 2: Chair of LAB

Stage 3: Trustee

Stage 4: Trust Panel

Complaints should be addressed to Clerk to LAB for Stages 1 and 2 and sent to the Clerk to Trust Board for Stages 3-4.

12. Complaints against the Chair of Trust

12.1 Complaints against the Trust Chair will be considered by a Member of the Trust under Stage 2 and reviewed if requested by a panel of 2 other Members not involved under Stage 2.

Complaints should be addressed to the Clerk to the Trust Board.

13. Complaints against the CEO and/or the Trust

13.1 Complaints against the CEO - under Stage 2 to be considered by the Trust Chair and reviewed if requested by a panel of 2 Members of the Trust.

Any complaints about the Trust, including the conduct or impact of the Members, which do not fall into the above categories, should be made in writing to the Clerk to the Trust Board who will take advice externally and proceed accordingly.

14. Late Complaints

14.1 Where a complaint is submitted more than six months after the incident or event (or where the complaint relates to a series of incidents or events, more than six months from the date of the latest incident or event), the school/Trust reserves the right to refuse to investigate the complaint under this Complaints Policy if it appears reasonable and fair to do so, having regard to the circumstances surrounding the complaint. Complaints received more than twelve months after the incident or event (or latest incident or event in a series) will not be investigated.

14.2 Where the school decides that a complaint which was submitted late will not be investigated, the school will write to the Complainant notifying them of the decision within five school days of the complaint being received.

14.3 If the Complainant is unhappy with the decision not to investigate a complaint which was submitted late, the Complainant may write to the Chair of the LAB/ITB at the school asking for the decision to be reviewed. The Chair of the LAB/ITB will be provided with all documentation relating to the complaint, together with the letter from the school to the Complainant, and will review the decision not to investigate the complaint. The Chair of the LAB/ITB will not investigate the complaint itself during this review.

14.4 The Chair of the LAB/ITB will write to the Complainant with the outcome of the review within ten school days of the date that the letter from the Complainant seeking the review was received, and provide the school with a copy of the letter.

14.5 If the Chair of the LAB/ITB quashes the decision not to investigate the complaint, it will be referred to the school to be dealt with under this Complaints Policy in the usual way.

14.6 If the Chair of the LAB/ITB upholds the decision not to investigate the complaint, the Complainant may refer the concern or complaint to the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) using the procedure stated towards the end of this Complaints Policy.

14.7 In exceptional circumstances, the Chair of the LAB/ITB can delegate the responsibility for the review to another appropriate LAB/ITB member, or another Chair in the Trust.

15. Vexatious or Repeated Complaints

15.1 There may be occasions when, despite a complaint being considered under all stages in this Complaints Policy, the Complainant persists in making the same complaint to the school. There may also be occasions when a Complainant raises unreasonable persistent complaints or raises complaints about matters which do not affect them. There may also be occasions when a complaint is made about a matter which is clearly so trivial that it would be a waste of the school's resources to deal with it under the formal stages of the procedure. In all of these cases, the school reserves the right to regard the complaint as vexatious and/or repeated and to refuse to investigate it under the procedure in this Complaints Policy, if it appears reasonable and fair to do so, having regard to the circumstances surrounding the complaint.

15.2 Where the school decides that a complaint is vexatious and/or repeated and will not be investigated, the school will write to the Complainant **within five school days of the complaint being raised** to notify them of the decision.

15.3 If the Complainant is unhappy with the decision not to investigate a vexatious and/or repeated complaint, they may write to the Chair of the LAB/ITB to ask for the decision to be reviewed. The Chair of the LAB/ITB will be provided with all documentation relating to the current complaint and any previous complaints which were relevant to the decision, together with the letter from the school to the Complainant, and will review the decision not to investigate the complaint. The Chair of the LAB/ITB will not investigate the complaint itself during this review.

15.4 The Chair of the LAB/ITB will write to the Complainant with the outcome of the review **within ten school days of the date that the letter** from the Complainant seeking the review was received.

15.5 If the Chair of the LAB/ITB quashes the decision not to investigate the concern or complaint, it will be referred to the school to be dealt with under the procedure in this Complaints Policy in the usual way.

15.6 If the Chair of the LAB/ITB upholds the decision not to investigate the concern or complaint, the Complainant may refer the concern or complaint to the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) using the procedure stated towards the end of this Complaints Policy.

15.7 In exceptional circumstances, the Chair of the LAB/ITB can delegate the responsibility for the review to another appropriate LAB/ITB member, or another Chair of LAB/ITB in the Trust.

16. Complaint Campaigns

16.1 Where the Trust, or a school within the Trust, become the focus of a campaign and receives large volumes of complaints all based on the same subject and/or from complainants unconnected with the school, the response will be as follows:

- The issue will be reviewed by at least 2 appropriate leaders and either a LAB or Trust Board representative as appropriate. Where the issue relates to the Trust Board, Members may also be part of the review team.
- The review team will prepare a template response which will be sent to all complainants and put on the relevant website.

17. Anonymous Complaints

17.1 The school will not investigate anonymous complaints under the procedure in this Complaints Policy. Anonymous complaints will be referred to the Headteacher who will decide what, if any, action should be taken.

18. Record Keeping and Retention

18.1 Trust schools maintain a record of Stage 1 concerns on the communication log within the school database (SIMS), along with details of informal action taken.

18.2 Trust schools maintain a detailed central record to ensure a written log of all formal complaints (Stages 2-4) made in accordance with Part 7 of the Education (Independent Schools Standards) Regulations 2014 along with details of whether they are resolved following a formal procedure, or progression to a panel hearing.

18.3 Appropriate action will be implemented to mitigate issues identified in complaints regardless of whether the complaint is upheld. The details of such action will be included within the complaints central record.

18.4 Correspondence, statements and records relating to individual complaints are to be kept confidential except where the Secretary of State or a body conducting an inspection under section 109 of the 2008 Act requests access to them

18.5 Records of complaints will be destroyed when the pupil/student to which they relate reaches the age of twenty four years or, in the case of a pupil/student with a statement of special educational needs, until the pupil/student reaches the age of thirty years.

19. Review of Concerns/Complaints

19.1 The Chief Executive Officer of the Trust will conduct an annual review of the complaints central record for each school and an anonymised summary report will be provided to the Trust Board.

19.2 Headteachers will conduct an annual review with the Local Advisory Board for their school.

20. Staff Training

20.1 The Senior Manager responsible for staff development will arrange from time to time appropriate training to remind all staff of the procedures and records required.

20.2 The Clerk to the Trust will arrange training as required, in consultation with the Chair, for Trustees and the Chair of Local Advisory Boards.

21. GDPR/Data Protection Act 2018 and Freedom of Information Act 2000

21.1 Complaints sometimes include requests for information or documentation. Such requests will either be a “subject access request” under the GDPR/Data Protection Act 2018 (where the information requested relates to an identifiable individual) or a request under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (where the information is general and not related to an identifiable individual).

21.2 Subject access requests under the GDPR/Data Protection Act 2018 must be responded to within the given timeframe where reasonable, and requests under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 must be responded to within the given timeframe where reasonable, however the school will aim to provide this information as soon as practicable (where the request is valid and the Complainant is lawfully entitled to the information or documentation) in accordance with the rules of natural justice.

22. Audio or video evidence

22.1 Maiden Erlegh Trust does not consent to any member of its community being recorded (audio or video) by third parties. This means that, as a rule, we would not record (audio or video) meetings unless:

- It is part of a pre-agreed reasonable adjustment.
- All parties consent in writing, in advance.
- Recordings are made on school/Trust equipment only.
- Information will only be shared if it does not breach confidentiality or could potentially lead to a breach of confidentiality (eg: if personal information were lost or leaked).

22.2 We do not normally accept electronic recordings as evidence when we are asked to consider a complaint. We may, however, accept independently notarised transcriptions of recordings. We may also ask for the written consent of all recorded parties.

22.3 Unless exceptional circumstances apply, Maiden Erlegh Trust will refuse to accept, as evidence, recordings of conversations that were obtained covertly and without informed consent of all parties being recorded.

23. Handling complaints fairly and without bias

23.1 The Trust will make sure that all concerns and complainants, and reviews, are treated fairly and that all parties are offered a chance to state their case either in person or in writing, at each stage of the procedure.

23.2 We will also make reasonable attempts to accommodate complainants with dates for complaint meetings and they refuse or are unable to attend you can:

- convene meetings in their absence
- reach a conclusion in the interests of drawing the complaint to a close.

23.3 Complainants are entitled to a fair meeting or review and can request an independent panel if they believe there is likely to be bias in the proceedings. It is the Complainants responsibility to provide evidence of bias in support of their request, as it is your decision whether to agree to it. If the appearance of bias is sufficient to taint the decision reached, then we will grant such requests.

23.4 Persons (on all sides of the issue) who have a conflict of interest should not take part in the complaints process, including proceedings of LAB or Trust Board meetings and committees. If there is any reasonable doubt as to a person's ability to act impartially, they should withdraw from considering the complaint. Where a LAB Member / Trustee has a financial interest in any related matter, they should also withdraw.

23.5 When making decisions, we will not act in a way that is biased. The appearance of bias may be sufficient to taint a decision even if there is no actual bias. This concept derives from the principle that justice must not only be done, but be seen to be done.

23.6 Where Complainants contact ESFA because they do not believe the Headteacher, LAB Members or Trustees will consider their complaint impartially, generally LAB Members or Trustees with no prior exposure to the complaint are considered suitably impartial, unless the Complainant provides evidence to the contrary. This does not mean, however, that they can be the independent panel member on these occasions. The independent panel member is there to ensure that the panel has the benefit of an external source of scrutiny and challenge in its consideration of the complaint to ensure the decision is not biased.

23.7 Complainants must submit evidence of bias if they complain of this to ESFA.

24. Resolution Principles

24.1 It is in everyone's interest that concerns and complaints are resolved to the satisfaction of all parties at the earliest possible stage. The way in which the concern, difficulty or complaint is dealt with after the matter is first raised by the Complainant can be crucial in determining whether the complaint will escalate. To that end, members of staff will be periodically made aware of the procedure in this Complaints Policy, so that they will know what to do when a concern or difficulty is raised with them.

24.2 At each stage of the complaints procedure, the investigator will consider how the complaint may be resolved. In considering how a complaint may be resolved, the investigator will give due regard to the seriousness of the complaint. It may be appropriate in order to bring the complaint to a resolution for the investigator to offer:

- An explanation;
- An apology;
- Reassurance that steps have been taken to prevent a recurrence of events which led to the complaint;
- Reassurance that the school will undertake a review of its policies and procedures in light of the complaint.

24.3 None of the above will constitute an admission of negligence or an acceptance of liability on behalf of the school.

25. Outcome Principles

25.1 Examples of outcomes include:

- There was insufficient evidence to reach a conclusion, so the complaint cannot be upheld;
- The investigation did not substantiate the matters raised, so the complaint cannot be upheld;
- The complaint was substantiated in part or full. A description should be given of the remedial action being taken by the school as a consequence of the complaint. Details of any disciplinary action or sanctions to be taken against a member of staff are strictly confidential and cannot be disclosed.
- The matter has been fully investigated and, as a consequence, further confidential procedures are being pursued. Details of any disciplinary action or sanctions to be taken against a member of staff are strictly confidential and cannot be disclosed.

26. Publication

26.1 This Complaints Policy has been ratified by the Trust Board, and will be reviewed annually. It will be published on the school's website and provided to parents and pupil/students on request by the school's office. A copy of this Complaints Policy will be provided to a Complainant when a concern, difficulty or complaint is first raised.

27. Referral to the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA)

27.1 Once a complaint has been through all the stages of this Complaints Policy, if the Complainant believes that this Complaints Policy does not comply with the Regulations, or that the school has not followed the procedure in this Complaints Policy, the Complainant can refer the complaint to the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) for consideration.

27.2 The ESFA cannot overturn the decision about a complaint. Their role is to ensure the complaint has been handled properly by following a published procedure that complies with part 7 of the Education (Independent School Standards) Regulations 2014.

27.3 The Complainant can find further information about referring a complaint to the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) by pasting this page into an Internet browser:

<http://www.education.gov.uk/schools/leadership/schoolperformance/b00212240/making-complaint-school/complaints-free-schools-academies>

27.4 The Complainant should be aware that the Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) will not usually investigate the complaint itself, or interfere with the findings of the Complaint Panel, unless the decision made was manifestly unreasonable.

27.5 The ESFA will only consider the complaint if the complainant can provide evidence that the school or trust:

- Does not have a complaints procedure
- Did not provide a copy of its complaints procedure when requested
- Does not have a procedure that complies with statutory regulations
- Has not followed its published complaints procedure
- Has not allowed its complaints procedure to be completed

Appendix 1 – Maiden Erlegh Trust Formal Complaint Form

This form should be used if you wish your complaint to be dealt with at Stage 2 of the Complaints process.

Please complete and return to the school who will acknowledge receipt and explain what action will be taken.

Your Name:	Pupil/student's Name:
	School Year and Tutor Group/Class:
Your Relationship to Pupil/student:	Pupil/student's DOB:
Address and Postcode:	Daytime Telephone Number:
	Evening Telephone Number:
Full details of complaint (including the names of all persons involved and the dates of incidents referred to):	
What action, if any, have you already taken to try and resolve your complaint (for example, who did you speak to and what was the response)?	
What actions do you feel might resolve the problem at this stage?	
Are you attaching any paperwork? If so, please give details.	
By signing this form, you consent to the School/Trust disclosing information to a third party where appropriate, in order to help investigate and/or deal with the complaint fully.	
Signature:	Date:
<i>For Official Use:</i>	
<i>Date Acknowledgement Sent:</i>	
<i>Name of Person Complaint Referred To:</i>	
<i>Signature:</i>	<i>Date:</i>

Appendix 2 – Unacceptable Communication Protocol

Disrespectful, unreasonable or abusive behaviour or communication

We are very proud of our communication with parents, colleagues from other agencies and visitors are exceptionally positive. Unfortunately, and extremely rarely, our staff have to deal with disrespectful, unreasonable or abusive behaviour/communication.

Disrespectful, unreasonable or abusive behaviour/communication towards a member of staff is not tolerated at any of the schools in Maiden Erlegh Trust.

Possible actions to deal with behaviour or communication which is disrespectful, unreasonable or abusive

1. Any member of staff who directly experiences any of the above behaviour from any stakeholder (including parents or members of the public), has the authority to deal immediately with that behaviour in a *reasonable* and *proportionate* manner appropriate to the situation, and is in line with this guidance.
2. The threat or use of physical violence, verbal abuse or harassment towards our staff may result in a termination of all direct contact with the stakeholder.
3. Such incidents may also be reported to the police (eg: if physical violence or the language of hate is used or threatened).
4. Where written correspondence is abusive or contains allegations that lack substantive evidence, it will be passed to a senior member of staff. They will inform the sender that we consider their communication to be offensive, unnecessary and/or unhelpful and ask them to stop communicating in such a way.
5. We may ask the sender to edit their correspondence and remove any offensive text and resend it, otherwise it will not receive a response.
6. Our staff have the right to make the decision that the language, tone or tenor of a telephone call or meeting is disrespectful, unreasonable or abusive. Where this is the case:
Response 1: "I am sorry but what you are saying is *unreasonable/unacceptable/offensive* please will you moderate your language"
Response 2 (if the behaviour persists: they will say): "I am sorry you are still being *unreasonable/unacceptable/offensive* and I am ending this conversation/meeting".
Response 3 (if the individual persists in calling or refuses to leave the site): the member of staff will call on patrol.
7. In both cases, this will then be reported to the Headteacher.
8. If appropriate, the Headteacher may will arrange for the call/meeting to take place again in a safe and appropriate manner (this may mean with another member of staff and/or with an observer).

Unreasonable demands on individual members of staff

It is also not acceptable to make unreasonable demands on individual members of staff. Unreasonable demands are ones which impact excessively on the work or wellbeing of our staff, such that it puts in jeopardy the efficient running of the school or the efficient education of students or the health of our staff. Examples are:

- repeatedly telephoning, emailing, writing
- telephoning and emailing in the evenings and at weekends with complaints or accusations and/or expecting next day responses
- frequently arriving at reception and asking to see members of staff
- repeated requests for meetings with no clear agenda
- raising repeatedly the same issues
- sending large numbers of documents about which the relevance is not clear
- making accusations of a personal nature against members of staff or students

Where a stakeholder's demands are deemed to unreasonable, the matter is reported initially to the colleague's Standards/Line Manager and/or the Headteacher.

We reserve the right to take action to protect our staff, students and visitors from unreasonable/unacceptable/offensive communication. We therefore reserve the right to respond to such behaviour as appropriate. Possible outcomes include:

1. Limit contact to telephone calls from a named person at set times on set days.
2. Limit contact to email only, and to a designated email address.
3. Limit our responses to agreed days and times.
4. Restrict contact to a named member of staff who will deal with future calls or correspondence
5. See the person by appointment only and with other members of staff present.
6. Restrict contact to "hard" copy written correspondence only.
7. Refuse to deal with further correspondence and return any documents or, in extreme cases.
8. Advise the person that further irrelevant documentation will be destroyed.
9. Where we consider continued correspondence on a wide range of issues to be excessive, we may tell the person that only a certain number of issues will be considered in a given period and ask them to limit or focus their requests accordingly.
10. Where someone repeatedly demands a response on an issue on which they have already been given a clear answer, we may refuse to respond to further enquiries from the person.
11. Contacting the stakeholder's line manager (eg: where they work for another agency) or another named contact for the child.
12. Escorting them off site and/or contacting the police.

Taking any action of this kind is hugely regrettable and will only be necessary in extreme circumstances. Where we take a decision to restrict a stakeholder's contact with the school, we will inform them in writing what action we are taking and why.

Maiden Erlegh Trust staff

Maiden Erlegh Trust staff will remain professional, respectful and calm at all times, whether the contact with the stakeholder is in person, on the telephone or in writing.

Any stakeholder who does not feel a member of our staff has behaved appropriately is entitled to make a complaint in line with our Complaints Policy.